## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Dennis Murphy,	: Civil Action No.:
Plaintiff,	· :
v.	:
Valentine & Kebartas, Inc.; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	COMPLAINT
Defendants	: :

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Dennis Murphy, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. This action arises out of Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of Plaintiff's personal privacy by the Defendants in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
  - 2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the Defendants transact business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

#### **PARTIES**

4. The Plaintiff, Dennis Murphy ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Haverhill, Massachusetts, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

- 5. The Defendant, Valentine & Kebartas, Inc. ("Valentine"), is a Massachusetts business entity with an address of 15 Union Street, Lawrence, Massachusetts 01840, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 6. Does 1-10 (the "Collectors") are individual collectors employed by Valentine and whose identities are currently unknown to the Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.
  - 7. Valentine at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

#### **ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS**

#### A. The Debt

- 8. The Plaintiff incurred a financial obligation in the approximate amount of \$4,000.00 (the "Debt") to Maryland National Bank, N.A. (the "Creditor").
- 9. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 10. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Valentine for collection, or Valentine was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 11. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

#### **B.** Valentine Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

- 12. The Defendants call the Plaintiff up to two or three times per day.
- 13. The Defendants left voice mail messages for the Plaintiff disclosing that the Plaintiff owed a debt.

- 14. The Plaintiff's girlfriend overheard at least one of these voice mail messages and became upset.
  - 15. The Defendants do not identify themselves in telephone calls with the Plaintiff.

#### C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

- 16. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.
- 17. As a direct consequence of the Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.
- 18. The Defendants' conduct was so outrageous in character, and so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and to be regarded as atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.

# **COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.**

- 19. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 20. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692b(2) in that Defendants informed third parties of the nature of the Plaintiff's debt and stated that the Plaintiff owed a debt.
- 21. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) in that Defendants caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiff in telephone conversations, with the intent to annoy and harass.
- 22. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(6) in that Defendants placed calls to the Plaintiff without disclosing the identity of the debt collection agency.

- 23. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) in that Defendants employed false and deceptive means to collect a debt.
- 24. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
  - 25. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendants' violations.

# <u>COUNT II</u> <u>VIOLATION OF THE MASSACHUSETTS CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT,</u> <u>M.G.L. c. 93A § 2, et seq.</u>

- 26. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 27. The Defendants employed unfair or deceptive acts to collect the Debt, in violation of M.G.L. c. 93A § 2.
- 28. Defendants' failure to comply with these provisions constitutes an unfair or deceptive act under M.G.L. c. 93A § 11 and, as such, the Plaintiff is entitled to double or treble damages plus reasonable attorney's fees.

## COUNT III INVASION OF PRIVACY BY INTRUSION UPON SECLUSION

- 29. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 30. The Restatement of Torts, Second, § 652(b) defines intrusion upon seclusion as, "One who intentionally intrudes…upon the solitude or seclusion of another, or his private affairs or concerns, is subject to liability to the other for invasion of privacy, if the intrusion would be highly offensive to a reasonable person."

- 31. Massachusetts further recognizes the Plaintiff's right to be free from invasions of privacy, thus Defendants violated Massachusetts state law.
- 32. The Defendants intentionally intruded upon Plaintiff's right to privacy by continually harassing the Plaintiff with telephone calls.
- 33. The telephone calls made by Defendants to the Plaintiff were so persistent and repeated with such frequency as to be considered, "hounding the plaintiff," and, "a substantial burden to her existence," thus satisfying the Restatement of Torts, Second, § 652(b) requirement for an invasion of privacy.
- 34. The conduct of the De endants in engaging in the illegal collection activities resulted in multiple invasions of privacy in such a way as would be considered highly offensive to a reasonable person.
- 35. As a result of the intrusions and invasions, the Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial from Defendants.
- 36. All acts of Defendants and its agents were committed with malice, intent, wantonness, and recklessness, and as such, Defendants are subject to punitive damages.

## COUNT IV COMMON LAW FRAUD

- 37. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 38. The acts, practices and conduct engaged in by the Defendants and complained of herein constitute fraud under the Common Law of the State of Massachusetts.
- 39. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the foregoing acts and practices, including damages associated with, among other things, humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment caused by the

Defendants. All acts of Defendants and the Collectors complained of herein were committed

with malice, intent, wantonness, and recklessness, and as such, Defendants are subject to

punitive damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendants:

1. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) against Defendants;

2. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for each violation pursuant to 15 U.S.C.

§1692k(a)(2)(A) against Defendants;

3. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §

1692k(a)(3) against Defendants;

4. Double or treble damages plus reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to M.G.L.

c. 93A § 3(A);

5. Actual damages from Defendants for the all damages including emotional

distress suffered as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or negligent

FDCPA violations and intentional, reckless, and/or negligent invasions of

privacy in an amount to be determined at trial for the Plaintiff;

6. Punitive damages; and

7. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: January 14, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

By \_/s/ Sergei Lemberg\_\_\_\_\_

Sergei Lemberg, Esq.

6

## Case 1:10-cv-10054-NG Document 1 Filed 01/14/10 Page 7 of 7

LEMBERG & ASSOCIATES L.L.C. 1100 Summer Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Stamford, CT 06905

Telephone: (203) 653-2250 Facsimile: (877) 795-3666 Attorneys for Plaintiff